

**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): FORT SMITH

State: AR

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$6,321,482

PJ's Size Grouping\*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1994

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	C	Overall
				PJs in State: 3			
<b>Program Progress:</b>							
% of Funds Committed	90.97 %	95.15 %	3	90.67 %	50	51	
% of Funds Disbursed	86.58 %	83.60 %	1	80.33 %	69	71	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	0	1.34	3	4.49	0	0	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	100.00 %	91.57 %	1	79.86 %	100	100	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	73.66 %	70.99 %	2	66.79 %	53	56	
<b>Low-Income Benefit:</b>							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	100.00 %	67.15 %	1	78.76 %	100	100	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	50.00 %	33.57 %	1	44.26 %	56	60	
<b>Lease-Up:</b>							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	100.00 %	75.78 %	1	93.34 %	100	100	
<b>Overall Ranking:</b>			In State: 1 / 3		Nationally: 53 51		
<b>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</b>							
Rental Unit	\$21,167	\$9,797		\$24,843	2 Units	0.50 %	
Homebuyer Unit	\$11,928	\$16,737		\$14,028	327 Units	87.20 %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$17,561	\$17,985		\$19,949	46 Units	12.30 %	
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$0		\$3,158	0 Units	0.00 %	

\* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

\*\* - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

\*\*\*- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

## Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): LITTLE ROCK State: AR  
 PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$15,862,881 PJ's Size Grouping\*: B PJ Since (FY): 1992

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	B	Overall
				PJs in State:	3		
<b>Program Progress:</b>							
% of Funds Committed	96.04 %	95.15 %	2	90.67 %	89	85	
% of Funds Disbursed	81.91 %	83.60 %	3	80.33 %	46	47	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	1.27	1.34	2	4.49	17	20	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	94.26 %	91.57 %	2	79.86 %	53	47	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	66.31 %	70.99 %	3	66.79 %	40	41	
<b>Low-Income Benefit:</b>							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	79.56 %	67.15 %	2	78.76 %	39	38	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	44.89 %	33.57 %	2	44.26 %	52	49	
<b>Lease-Up:</b>							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	90.22 %	75.78 %	2	93.34 %	22	19	
<b>Overall Ranking:</b>			In State:	2 / 3	Nationally:	35 37	
<b>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</b>							
Rental Unit	\$13,076	\$9,797		\$24,843	225 Units	33.50 %	
Homebuyer Unit	\$37,674	\$16,737		\$14,028	270 Units	40.20 %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$16,813	\$17,985		\$19,949	176 Units	26.20 %	
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$0		\$3,158	0 Units	0.00 %	

\* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

\*\* - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

\*\*\*- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

## Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.



**SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/08**  
**Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities**



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): PINE BLUFF

State: AR

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$7,333,942

PJ's Size Grouping\*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1992

Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):**		
					Group	C	Overall
				PJs in State:	<div>3</div>		
<b>Program Progress:</b>							
% of Funds Committed	<div>96.83</div> %	<div>95.15</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>90.67</div> %	<div>86</div>	<div>89</div>	
% of Funds Disbursed	<div>84.70</div> %	<div>83.60</div> %	<div>2</div>	<div>80.33</div> %	<div>59</div>	<div>60</div>	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	<div>1.59</div>	<div>1.34</div>	<div>1</div>	<div>4.49</div>	<div>28</div>	<div>24</div>	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	<div>84.69</div> %	<div>91.57</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>79.86</div> %	<div>25</div>	<div>32</div>	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	<div>79.93</div> %	<div>70.99</div> %	<div>1</div>	<div>66.79</div> %	<div>65</div>	<div>70</div>	
<b>Low-Income Benefit:</b>							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	<div>52.11</div> %	<div>67.15</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>78.76</div> %	<div>6</div>	<div>6</div>	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	<div>20.00</div> %	<div>33.57</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>44.26</div> %	<div>14</div>	<div>11</div>	
<b>Lease-Up:</b>							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	<div>58.42</div> %	<div>75.78</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>93.34</div> %	<div>3</div>	<div>3</div>	
<b>Overall Ranking:</b>			In State:	<div>3</div> / <div>3</div>	Nationally:	<div>17</div> <div>15</div>	
<b>HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units:</b>							
Rental Unit	<div>\$5,794</div>	<div>\$9,797</div>		<div>\$24,843</div>	<div>190</div> Units	<div>27.70</div> %	
Homebuyer Unit	<div>\$7,135</div>	<div>\$16,737</div>		<div>\$14,028</div>	<div>425</div> Units	<div>62.00</div> %	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	<div>\$21,166</div>	<div>\$17,985</div>		<div>\$19,949</div>	<div>71</div> Units	<div>10.30</div> %	
TBRA Unit	<div>\$0</div>	<div>\$0</div>		<div>\$3,158</div>	<div>0</div> Units	<div>0.00</div> %	

\* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (57 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (194 PJs)


C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (292 PJs)

\*\* - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

\*\*\*- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

## Graphic Representations of State and National Ranking Comparisons



The two graphs above are a visual representation of the PJ's state and national rank in each performance category. The performance percentile indicates the extent to which the PJs' performance exceeds other PJs' for that category. For example, a PJ with a state performance percentile of 70% for commitments exceeds the performance of 70% of all PJs in the state.

